arXiv:1504.06925v2 [math.AP] 12 May 2015

On finding an obstacle embedded in the rough background medium via the enclosure method in the time domain

Masaru IKEHATA*

May 13, 2015

Abstract

A mathematical method for through-wall imaging via wave phenomena in the time domain is introduced. The method makes use of a single reflected wave over a finite time interval and gives us a criterion whether a penetrable obstacle exists or not in a general rough background medium. Moreover, if the obstacle exists, the lower and upper estimates of the distance between the obstacle and the center point of the support of the initial data are given. As an evidence of the potential of the method two applications are also given.

AMS: 35R30
KEY WORDS: enclosure method, inverse obstacle scattering problem, inverse back-scattering, obstacle, wave equation, through-the wall

1 Introduction

Assume that there is a large wall between an observer and an unknown object. The wall is *opaque* and thus the observer can not see the object directly. How can the observer find the object? Consider the case when the wall is electromagentically *penetrable*. Then there is no dought that everyone thinks about the use of electromagnetic wave. Generate the electromagnetic wave at the place where the observer is and observe the reflected wave from the object at the same place over a finite time interval. The observed wave should include information about the object. How can one extract the infromation from the observed wave? The prurpose of this paper is to develop a mathematical method for *throuh-wall imaging* by using the governing equation of the wave from the begining to end. Originally the governing equation should be the Maxwell system. In this paper, as a first step we consider two single equations for *scalar waves*.

1.1 Finding discontinuity in a medium with a rough refractive index

Let us formulate the first problem. In this paper we consider only the case when $n = 1, 3$. Let $\alpha \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and satisfies $\text{ess.inf}_{x\in\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha(x) > 0$. Given $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, let $u = u_f(x,t)$ be the weak solution of of

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(x)\partial_t^2 u - \triangle u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n \times\,]0,\, T[, \\ \\ u(x,0) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n,\ \partial_t u(x,0) = f(x) \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

The notion of the weak solution is taken from [3]. See Subsection 2.1 in this paper.

---

[*] Laboratory of Mathematics, Institute of Engineering, Hiroshima University, Higash-Hiroshima 739-8527, JAPAN

We assume that α takes the form

$$\alpha(x)=\begin{cases}\alpha_0(x), & \text{if } x\in\mathbf{R}^n\setminus D\\ \\ \alpha_0(x)+h(x), & \text{if } x\in D,\end{cases}\tag{1.2}$$

where $D\subset\mathbf{R}^n$ is a bounded open subset with Lipschitz boundary. The function α_0 belongs to $L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and satisfies $m_0^2\le\alpha_0(x)\le M_0^2$ a.e.$x\in\mathbf{R}^n$ for positive constants m_0 and M_0; h on D belongs to $L^{\infty}(D)$ and satisfies one of (A.I) and (A.II) listed below:

(A.I) $\exists C>0\ h(x)\ge C$ a.e. $x\in D$;

(A.II) $\exists C>0\ -h(x)\ge C$ a.e. $x\in D$.

D is a model of an unknown *penetrable obstacle* with material parameter α_0+h embedded in the background medium with material parameter α_0. The distribution of α_0 models various penetrable walls in the space and D is something hidden in the walls or a space between the walls and various penetrable obstacles.

Let B be an open ball satifying $\overline{B}\cap\overline{D}=\emptyset$. Let $f\in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfy $f(x)=0$ a.e. $x\in\mathbf{R}^3\setminus B$ and $\text{ess.inf}_{x\in B}f(x)>0$. Generate u_f by the initial data f. In this paper, we consider the following inverse problems under the assumption that α_0 is *known* and that both D and h are *unknown.*

Problem 1. Find a criterion whether $D=\emptyset$ or not in terms of only u_f on B over time interval $]0,\,T[$.

Problem 2. Assume that $D\ne\emptyset$. Extract information about D from u_f on B over time interval $]0,\,T[$ for a fixed large T.

Note that the correspondence $(D,h)\longmapsto u|_{B\times\,]0,\,T[}$ is nonlinear and thus both problems become nonlinear problems. The existence of variation of α_0 outside D plays a role of the wall in which a wave can propagate. This paper aims at developing a *through-the wall* imaging method via the governing equation of the wave used. See, e.g., [1, 19] and references therein for practical approaches.

In this paper, we employ the Enclosure Method introduced by the author himself in [6, 7] as a guiding principle for attacking the problem mentinoned above. It is a direct method which connectes the unknown discontinuity and the observaton data through the so-called indicator function. In [8] the author initiated to apply the method to inverse obstacle problems whose governing equations are given by the heat and wave equations in one-space dimension. Now we have several applications of the method to inverse obstacle scattering problems in three-space dimensions whose governing equations are given by the wave equautions [9, 10, 11, 12]. See [13] for a review of the results in those papers. However, imaging an obstacle through-the wall is still out of the range of the results in those papers.

Now let us describe the result.

Let $\tau>0$ and define

$$w(x,\tau)=\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}u(x,t)dt\ \text{in}\,\mathbf{R}^n.\tag{1.3}$$

Let $v=v(x,\tau)\in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the weak solution of

$$\triangle v-\alpha_0\tau^2 v+\alpha_0 f=0\ \text{in}\,\mathbf{R}^n.\tag{1.4}$$

The following resut gives us solutions to Problems 1 and 2.

Theorem 1.1. *We have:*

(i) if $D=\emptyset$, then for all $T>0$ it holds that

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}e^{\tau T}\int_B\alpha_0 f(w-v)dx=0;$$

(ii) if $D \neq \emptyset$ and h satisfies (A.I), then for all $T > 2M_0 dist(D, B)$ it holds that

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} e^{\tau T} \int_B \alpha_0 f(w - v) dx = -\infty;$$

(iii) if $D \neq \emptyset$ and h satisfies (A.II), then for all $T > 2M_0 dist(D, B)$ it holds that

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} e^{\tau T} \int_B \alpha_0 f(w - v) dx = \infty.$$

Moreover, in case of both (ii) and (iii) we have, for all $T > 2M_0 dist(D, B)$

$$\liminf_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\tau} \log \left| \int_B \alpha_0 f(w - v) dx \right| \geq -M_0 dist(D, B) \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\limsup_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\tau} \log \left| \int_B \alpha_0 f(w - v) dx \right| \leq -m_0 dist(D, B). \tag{1.6}$$

Note that α_0 and h are just *essentially bounded* on $\mathbf{R}^n$ and D, respectively. In particular, α_0 can be a model for various background media such as multilayered media with complicated interfaces or unions of various domains with different refractive indexes. It will be *impossible* to apply any approach based on the idea of *geometrical optics* to this case. See [5, 15, 16, 17] for such approach in the case when the *scattering kernel* which is the observation data in the Lax-Phillips scattering theory is given under the assumptions that $\alpha_0(x) = 1$ a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, ∂D is smooth and $h \in C^\infty(\overline{D})$.

Let p be the centre of B and η the radius. Since $\text{dist}\,(D, B) = d_{\partial D}(p) - \eta$, estimates (1.5) and (1.6) give us an upper and lower estimate of $d_{\partial D}(p)$ provided m_0 and M_0 are known and T is sufficiently large. Thus we obtain the upper and lower estimation of the sphere $|x - p| = d_{\partial D}(p)$ whose exterior *encloses* the object. Estimates (1.5) and (1.6) suggest a *new direction* of the Enclosure Method in the case when the background medium is *inhomomegeneous* and quite complicated: give up to find a precise quantity in the observation data which is related to the exact location of unknown obstacles; instead give lower and upper estimates *rigorously* like (1.5) and (1.6) for $\text{dist}\,(D, B)$.

Some further remarks are in order.

• In Theorem 1.1 it suffices to know v on B not whole v. However, without knowing α_0 outside B it is impoosible to compute v on B in advance. In the last section we suggest an experimental computation procedure of v on B without detailed knowledge of α_0 outside B. It seems that this will be useful for the daily security of the interior of the room, house and building which have complicated environment. For the purpose Theorem 1.1 will be suitable since α_0 is just essentially bounded and we never assume any other regularity.

• If $\alpha_0(x) = 1$ a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, then one can choose $M_0 = m_0 = 1$ and thus (1.5) and (1.6) imply that

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\tau} \log \left| \int_B f(w - v) dx \right| = -\text{dist}\,(D, B).$$

This coincides with a result (1.20) in [10].

In the next subsection we apply the idea developed here to more special case and show (1.5) and (1.6) can be replaced with a single formula.

1.2 Finding discontinuity in a dissipative medium

Let $q \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfy $q(x) \ge 0$ a.e.$x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Given $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, let $u = u(x,t)$ be the weak solution of

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \partial_t^2 u - \triangle u + q(x)\partial_t u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n \times]0,\,T[, \\ \\ u(x,0) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n,\ \partial_t u(x,0) = f(x) \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n. \end{array}\right. \tag{1.7}$$

We assume that q takes the form

$$q(x) = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} q_0(x), & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus D \\ \\ q_0(x) + h(x), & \text{if } x \in D, \end{array}\right. \tag{1.8}$$

where D is a bounded open set of $\mathbf{R}^n$ with Lipschitz boundary. The function q_0 belongs to $L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and satisfies $q_0(x) \ge 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

The function h on D in (1.8) has to belong to $\in L^{\infty}(D)$. We assume that h satisfies one of (A.I) and (A.II).

Let $\tau > 0$. Define w by the formula (1.3) in which u is replaced with the solution of (1.7).

Let $v \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the weak solution of

$$(\triangle - \tau^2 - \tau q_0)v + f = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n. \tag{1.9}$$

The following result is new and suggests that, even in a rough dissipative medium the solution of (1.7) carries information about D clearly like the wave equation outside D.

Theorem 1.2. *Let B and f be the same as those of Theorem 1.1. We have:*

(i) if $D = \emptyset$, then for all $T > 0$ it holds that

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} e^{\tau T} \int_B f(w - v)dx = 0;$$

(ii) if $D \neq \emptyset$ and h satisfies (A.I), then for all $T > 2dist(D, B)$ it holds that

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} e^{\tau T} \int_B f(w - v)dx = -\infty;$$

(iii) if $D \neq \emptyset$ and h satisfies (A.II), then for all $T > 2dist(D, B)$ it holds that

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} e^{\tau T} \int_B f(w - v)dx = \infty.$$

Moreover, in case of both (ii) and (iii) we have, for all $T > 2dist(D, B)$

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\tau} \log\left|\int_B f(w - v)dx\right| = -dist(D, B). \tag{1.10}$$

Roughly speaking, we see that (1.9) as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$ corresponds to (1.4) with $\alpha_0 = 1$ and thus formula (1.10) is reasonable.

Comparing Theorem 1.2 with the previous results in [10, 11] for the wave equation outside D, we see (ii) and (iii) suggest us that that assumptions (A.I) (stronger dissipation) and (A.II) (weaker dissipation) correspond to the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions imposed on ∂D, respectively.

A brief outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 first we specify the meaning of the weak solution used in the formulation of the problems. Second we establish two basic integral identities for w given by (1.3). Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 3. The proof starts with deriving the lower and upper estimates for the indicator function

$$\tau \longmapsto \int_B f\alpha_0(w-v)dx$$

as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$ from the basic identities in Section 2. Next, by virtue of the governing equation (1.4) we see that v has pointwise explicit lower and upper esimates. Combining those, we obtain all the conclusions stated in Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 4 which is a combination of a reduction and similar argument done in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 5 we give some remarks concerned with a "practical use" of Theorem 1.1 and present a sharp form of Theorem 1.1 in the case when the space dimension is 1 and α_0 has a special but important form.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 On the solution class

In this subsection we specify the meaning of the weak solutions of (1.1) and (1.7) at the same time. It is based on Theorem 1 given on p.558 in [3] which we have already used for the formulation of the weak solution of (1.1) in [10].

Set $V=H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $H=L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Applying Theorem 1, we know that given $u^0\in V$ and $u^1\in H$, there exists a unique u satisfying

$$u\in L^2(0,\ T;V),\ u'=\frac{du}{dt}\in L^2(0,\ T;V),\ \frac{d}{dt}(\boldsymbol{C}(u'(\,\cdot\,))\in L^2(0,\ T;V'),$$

such that, for all $\phi\in V$

$$<\frac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{C}(u'(t)),\phi>+b_0(u'(t),v)+a(u(t),\phi)=0,\ \text{a.e.}\,t\in]0,\ T[, \tag{2.1}$$

and $u(0)=u^0$ and $u'(0)=u^1$, where

$$a(u,v)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\nabla u\cdot\nabla v dx,\ u,v\in V,$$

$$b_0(u,v)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}quvdx,\ u,v\in V,$$

and $\boldsymbol{C}:H\longrightarrow H$ is the bounded linear operator defined by

$$\boldsymbol{C}(u)=\alpha u,\ u\in H.$$

Note that this $\boldsymbol{C}$ satisfies (5.11) on p. 553 in [3] under the condition $\text{ess.inf}_{x\in\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha(x)>0$. Since $q\ge 0$, b_0 satisfies (5.8) on p. 553 with $\beta_0=0$ in their notation. However, equation (2.1) is *homogeneous*, i.e., the source term is 0, and by virtue of this, their proof also covers this case.

In this paper, we say that this u for $u^0=0$ and $u^1=f$ with $q=0$ and $\alpha=1$ is the weak solutions of (1.1) and (1.7), respectively.

We see that w given by

$$w=\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}udt$$

belongs to V and applying integration by parts to (2.1) multiplied by $e^{-\tau T}$, we obtain, for all $\phi\in V$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\{\nabla w\cdot\nabla\phi+(\tau^2\alpha+\tau q)w\phi\}dx-\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha f\phi dx+e^{-\tau T}\int_{\mathbf{R}^3}\mathcal{F}\phi dx=0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(x)=\alpha(x)u'(x,T)+(\alpha(x)\tau+q(x))u(x,T).$$

This means that w is the weak solution of the following equation:

$$(\triangle-\alpha\tau^2-q\tau)w+\alpha f=e^{-\tau T}\mathcal{F}\text{ in }\mathbf{R}^n. \tag{2.2}$$

2.2 Two basic identities

In this subsection we consider only the case when $q(x)=0$ a.e. $x\in\mathbf{R}^n$. Then, it follows from (2.2) that w satisfies

$$\triangle w-\alpha\tau^2 w+\alpha f=\alpha e^{-\tau T}F\ \text{ in }\mathbf{R}^n, \tag{2.3}$$

where $F(x,\tau)=u'(x,T)+\tau u(x,T)$.

In what follows f just belongs to $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$; $v\in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies (1.4).

Proposition 2.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f\{(\alpha_0-\alpha)v+\alpha R\}dx&=\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)v^2dx\\&+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\tau^2R^2)dx+e^{-\tau T}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha FRdx-\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha Fvdx\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $R=w-v$.

Proof. From (1.4) We have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha_0 fwdx=\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha_0 vwdx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\nabla v\cdot\nabla wdx.$$

On the other hand, from (2.3) we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha fvdx=\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha wvdx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\nabla w\cdot\nabla vdx+e^{-\tau T}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha Fvdx.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(\alpha_0 w-\alpha v)dx=\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)vwdx-e^{-\tau T}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha Fvdx. \tag{2.5}$$

Write

$$\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)vwdx=\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)v^2dx+\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)vRdx. \tag{2.6}$$

It follows from (2.3) and (1.4) that R satisfies

$$\triangle R-\alpha\tau^2R+(\alpha_0-\alpha)\tau^2v-(\alpha_0-\alpha)f=\alpha e^{-\tau T}F\text{ in }\mathbf{R}^n. \tag{2.7}$$

Thus we have

$$\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)vRdx=\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\tau^2R^2)dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)fRdx+e^{-\tau T}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha FRdx.$$

Subsituting this into (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)vwdx&=\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)v^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\tau^2R^2)dx\\&+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)fRdx+e^{-\tau T}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha FRdx.\end{aligned}$$

A combination of this and (2.5) yields

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(\alpha_0 w - \alpha v)dx = \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha_0 - \alpha)v^2 dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (|\nabla R|^2 + \alpha\tau^2 R^2)dx$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha_0 - \alpha) f R dx + e^{-\tau T}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha F R dx - \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha F v dx\right).$$

Since $(\alpha_0 w - \alpha v) - (\alpha_0 - \alpha)R = (\alpha_0 - \alpha)v + \alpha R$, we obtain (2.4).
□

Proposition 2.2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f\{(\alpha - \alpha_0)w - \alpha_0 R\}dx &= \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}(\alpha - \alpha_0)v^2 dx \\ + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(|\nabla R|^2 + \alpha\tau^2 \left|R + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right)v\right|^2\right) dx &+ e^{-\tau T}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha F R dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha F v dx\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $R = w - v$.

Proof. From (2.3) we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha f v dx = \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha w v dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \nabla w \cdot \nabla v dx + e^{-\tau T}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha F v dx.$$

On the other hand, from (1.4) we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha_0 f w dx = \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha_0 v w dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \nabla v \cdot \nabla w dx.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(\alpha v - \alpha_0 w)dx = \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha - \alpha_0) v w dx + e^{-\tau T}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha F v dx. \tag{2.9}$$

Write

$$\tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha - \alpha_0) v w dx = \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha - \alpha_0) w^2 dx + \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha_0 - \alpha) w R dx. \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.7) we see that R satisfies

$$\triangle R - \alpha_0 \tau^2 R + (\alpha_0 - \alpha)\tau^2 w - (\alpha_0 - \alpha) f = \alpha e^{-\tau T} F \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Thus we have

$$\tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha_0 - \alpha) w R dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (|\nabla R|^2 + \alpha_0 \tau^2 R^2)dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha_0 - \alpha) f R dx + e^{-\tau T}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha F R dx.$$

Subsituting this into (2.10) we have

$$\tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha - \alpha_0) v w dx = \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha - \alpha_0) w^2 dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (|\nabla R|^2 + \alpha_0 \tau^2 R^2)dx$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha_0 - \alpha) f R dx + e^{-\tau T}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha F R dx.$$

Now a combination of this and (2.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(\alpha v - \alpha_0 w)dx &= \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha - \alpha_0) w^2 dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\nabla R|^2 + \alpha_0 \tau^2 R^2)dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha_0 - \alpha) f R dx + e^{-\tau T}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha F R dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha F v dx\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Finally write $(\alpha v-\alpha_0 w)-(\alpha_0-\alpha)R=(\alpha-\alpha_0)w-\alpha_0 R$ and

$$\alpha_0 R^2+(\alpha-\alpha_0)w^2=\alpha\left|w-\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}v\right|^2+\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}(\alpha-\alpha_0)v^2.$$

Combining these with (2.11) we obtain (2.8).
□

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1.

First we derive two asymptotic estimates.

Proposition 3.1. *We have, as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f\alpha_0(w-v)dx\le\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}(\alpha_0-\alpha)v^2dx+O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T})\tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f\alpha_0(w-v)dx\ge\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)v^2dx+O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}).\tag{3.2}$$

Proof. We start with describing two simple estimates for v and $R=w-v$. It follows from (1.4) that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(|\nabla v|^2+\alpha_0\tau^2v^2-\alpha_0 fv)dx=0,$$

that is,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left\{|\nabla v|^2+\alpha_0\left(\tau v-\frac{f}{2\tau}\right)^2\right\}dx=\frac{1}{4\tau^2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha_0 f^2dx.$$

It is easy to see that from this we obtain, as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(|\nabla v|^2+\alpha_0\tau^2v^2)dx=O(\tau^{-2})$$

and in particular,

$$\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}=O(\tau^{-2}).\tag{3.3}$$

Next rewrite (2.4) as

$$\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)v^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left\{|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\left(\tau R-\frac{f-e^{-\tau t}F}{2\tau}\right)\right\}^2dx$$

$$=\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)fvdx+\frac{1}{4\tau^2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha(f-e^{-\tau T}F)^2dx.$$

Since $F=u'(x,T)+\tau u(x,T)$ and $\|u'(\,\cdot\,,T)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}+\|u(\,\cdot\,,T)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}<\infty$, it follows from this and (3.3) that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left\{|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\left(\tau R-\frac{f-e^{-\tau t}F}{2\tau}\right)\right\}^2dx=O(\tau^{-2})$$

and hence

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\tau^2R^2)dx=O(\tau^{-2}).$$

In particular, we have

$$\|R\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}=O(\tau^{-2}).\tag{3.4}$$

Applying (3.3) and (3.4) to (2.4) and (2.8), we obtain, as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f\{(\alpha_0-\alpha)v+\alpha R\}dx &= \tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\alpha_0-\alpha)v^2dx \\ &+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\tau^2R^2)dx+O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f\{(\alpha-\alpha_0)w-\alpha_0R\}dx &= \tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}(\alpha-\alpha_0)v^2dx \\ &+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left(|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\tau^2\left|R+\left(1-\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right)v\right|^2\right)dx+O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Here note that

$$(\alpha_0-\alpha)+\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}(\alpha-\alpha_0)=-\frac{(\alpha-\alpha_0)^2}{\alpha} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$(\alpha_0-\alpha)v+\alpha R+(\alpha-\alpha_0)w-\alpha_0R=2(\alpha-\alpha_0)R.$$

Thus, summing (3.5) and (3.6) up, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(\alpha-\alpha_0)Rdx+\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\frac{(\alpha-\alpha_0)^2}{\alpha}v^2dx \\ &=\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\tau^2R^2)dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left(|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\tau^2\left|R+\left(1-\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right)v\right|^2\right)dx+O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Now assume that $\alpha=\alpha_0$ on $\operatorname{supp} f$. Then (3.8) gives

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\tau^2R^2)dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left(|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\tau^2\left|R+\left(1-\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right)v\right|^2\right)dx$$

$$=\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\frac{(\alpha-\alpha_0)^2}{\alpha}v^2dx+O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T})$$

and hence

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(|\nabla R|^2+\alpha\tau^2R^2)dx\le\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\frac{(\alpha-\alpha_0)^2}{\alpha}v^2dx+O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}). \tag{3.9}$$

Note that

$$\frac{(\alpha-\alpha_0)^2}{\alpha}+(\alpha_0-\alpha)=\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}(\alpha_0-\alpha).$$

Then a combination of (3.9) and (3.5) gives (3.1) and (3.2), respectively.
□

Remark 3.1. We note that (3.7) gives

$$\alpha_0-\alpha\le\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}(\alpha_0-\alpha).$$

Thus (3.1) and (3.2) are reasonable.

Now assume that $D=\emptyset$. Then $\alpha_0=\alpha$ and thus (3.1) and (3.2) yield

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f\alpha_0Rdx=O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}).$$

This gives (i).

The proof of (ii) is as follows. Since h satisfies (A.I), it follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f\alpha_0 R dx \le -A\tau^2 \|v\|^2_{L^2(D)} + O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}), \tag{3.10}$$

where $A = -(m_0^2)/(M^2)C$, $M = \sqrt{\text{ess.sup}_{x\in\mathbf{R}^n}\alpha(x)}$ and C comes from (A.I);

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f\alpha_0 R dx \ge -A'\tau^2 \|v\|^2_{L^2(D)} + O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}), \tag{3.11}$$

where $A' = \|h\|_{L^\infty(D)} > 0$.

Thus it suffices to give a lower and upper estimate of v over D. Given $\lambda > 0$ define

$$K_\lambda(\xi) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2\lambda}e^{-\lambda|\xi|}, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \\ \dfrac{e^{-\lambda|\xi|}}{4\pi|\xi|}, & \text{if } n = 3. \end{cases}$$

It seems that the following lemma is closely related to the maxiumu principle or comparison principle [4]. However, our final purpose is to consider the electromagnetic wave which satisfies a *system*. So in Appendix we give a proof without making use of such principles.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and satisfy $f(x) \ge 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Let $v \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the weak solution of (1.4). We have*

$$v(x) \ge \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha_0(y)f(y)K_{M_0\tau}(x-y)dy \ \ a.e.\, x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$v(x) \le \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha_0(y)f(y)K_{m_0\tau}(x-y)dy \ \ a.e.\, x \in \mathbf{R}^n. \tag{3.13}$$

Let us continue the proof of (ii). Since the case when $n = 1$ can be treated easily, hereafter we only consider the case when $n = 3$. By the mean value theorem [2] we have

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_B \frac{e^{-\tau M_0|x-y|}}{|x-y|}dy = \frac{\varphi(\tau M_0\eta)}{(M_0\tau)^3}\frac{e^{-\tau M_0|x-p|}}{|x-p|}, \ x \in \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B}, \tag{3.14}$$

where p and η are the centre and radius of B, respectively and $\varphi(\xi) = \xi\cosh\xi - \sinh\xi$.

By [14], we know that there exists a positive constant C' and number $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ such that, for all $\tau >> 1$

$$\tau^\mu e^{2\tau d_{\partial D}(p)} \int_D \frac{e^{-2\tau|x-p|}}{|x-p|^2}dx \ge C'.$$

Applying this and (3.14) to a lower bound derived from the lower bounds for α_0 and f on B for the right-hand side on (3.12), we obtain, for all $\tau >> 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|^2_{L^2(D)} &\ge C^2\frac{m^4\varphi(M_0\tau\eta)^2}{(M_0\tau)^{6+\mu}}e^{-2M_0\tau d_{\partial D}(p)} \times (M_0\tau)^\mu e^{2M_0\tau d_{\partial D}(p)}\int_D \frac{e^{-2M_0\tau|x-p|}}{|x-p|^2}dx \\ &\ge C^2C'\frac{m^4\varphi(M_0\tau\eta)^2}{(M_0\tau)^{6+\mu}}e^{-2M_0\tau d_{\partial D}(p)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $C = \text{ess.inf}_{x\in B} f(x)$. Since as $\xi \longrightarrow \infty$

$$\varphi(\xi) \sim \frac{\xi}{2} e^{\xi},$$

from (3.15) we obtain, for all $\tau >> 1$

$$\|v\|^2_{L^2(D)} \geq C'' \tau^{-(4+\mu)} e^{-2M_0\tau(d_{\partial D}(p)-\eta)},$$

where C'' is a positive constant. Since $d_{\partial D}(p) - \eta = \text{dist}\,(D, B)$ we finally obtain, for all $\tau >> 1$

$$\|v\|^2_{L^2(D)} \geq C'' \tau^{-(4+\mu)} e^{-2M_0\tau \text{dist}\,(D,B)}. \tag{3.16}$$

Now a combination of this and (3.10) yields

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} e^{\tau T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f \alpha_0 R dx = -\infty$$

if $T > 2M_0 \text{dist}\,(D, B)$.

Moreover, it is easy to obtain from (3.13) that, for a positive constant C''' and all $\tau > 0$ we have

$$\|v\|^2_{L^2(D)} \leq C''' e^{-2m_0\tau \text{dist}\,(D,B)}. \tag{3.17}$$

Now it is easy to verify that a combination of (3.10) and (3.16) yields (1.5); a combination of (3.11) and (3.17) yields (1.6).

A similar argument based on (3.1) and (3.2) works also for the case when h satisfies (A.II).

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

From (2.3) we have

$$(\triangle - \tau^2 - \tau q)w + f = e^{-\tau T} F(x, \tau) \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$F(x, \tau) = u'(x, T) + (\tau + q(x))u(x, T).$$

Define

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}(x, \tau) = 1 + \frac{q}{\tau},$$

$$\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}(x, \tau) = \left(1 + \frac{q}{\tau}\right)^{-1} f$$

and

$$\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}(x, \tau) = \left(1 + \frac{q}{\tau}\right)^{-1} F.$$

Then (4.1) becomes

$$(\triangle - \tau^2 \tilde{\alpha})w + \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{f} = \tilde{\alpha} e^{-\tau T} \tilde{F} \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Let $v \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the solution of (1.9). Define

$$\tilde{\alpha_0} = \tilde{\alpha_0}(x, \tau) = 1 + \frac{q_0}{\tau}$$

and

$$\tilde{f_0} = \tilde{f_0}(x, \tau) = \left(1 + \frac{q_0}{\tau}\right)^{-1} f.$$

Then (1.9) becomes

$$(\triangle-\tilde{\alpha_0}\tau^2)v+\tilde{\alpha_0}\tilde{f_0}=0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n. \tag{4.2}$$

Note that $\tilde{\alpha_0}\tilde{f_0}=f=\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{F}=F$.

Define $R=w-v$. We start with the following two integral identities which can be derived along the same line as that of Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 and thus we omit the description of their proofs:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} fRdx=\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\tilde{\alpha_0}-\tilde{\alpha})v^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(|\nabla R|^2+\tilde{\alpha}\tau^2R^2)dx\\ +e^{-\tau T}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}FRdx-\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}Fvdx\right);\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} fRdx=\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\frac{\tilde{\alpha_0}}{\tilde{\alpha}}(\tilde{\alpha}-\tilde{\alpha_0})v^2dx\\ +\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left(|\nabla R|^2+\tilde{\alpha}\tau^2\left|R+\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\alpha_0}}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right)v\right|^2\right)dx+e^{-\tau T}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}FRdx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}Fvdx\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Note also that

$$\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(\tilde{\alpha_0}-\tilde{\alpha})v^2dx=\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(q_0-q)v^2dx$$

and

$$-\tau^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\frac{\tilde{\alpha_0}}{\tilde{\alpha}}(\tilde{\alpha}-\tilde{\alpha_0})v^2dx=\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\frac{\tau+q_0}{\tau+q}(q_0-q)v^2dx.$$

Then, applying a similar argument as done in the proof of Proposition 3.1 to (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain

Proposition 4.1. *We have, as* $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} fRdx\le\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\frac{\tau+q_0}{\tau+q}(q_0-q)v^2dx+O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}) \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} fRdx\ge\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(q_0-q)v^2dx+O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}). \tag{4.6}$$

Thus it suffices to prepare the following lower and upper estimates for v.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $f\in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *and satisfy* $f(x)\ge 0$ *a.e.* $x\in\mathbf{R}^n$. *Let* $v\in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *be the weak solution of (1.9). We have*

$$v(x)\ge\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}f(y)K_{L_0(\tau)\tau}(x-y)dy \text{ a.e. } x\in\mathbf{R}^n \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$v(x)\le\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}f(y)K_{\tau}(x-y)dy \text{ a.e. } x\in\mathbf{R}^n, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$L_0(\tau)=\sqrt{1+\frac{L_0}{\tau}} \tag{4.9}$$

and $L_0=ess.sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}^n}q_0(x)$.

Proof. Since v satisfies (4.2) and $L_0(\tau)$ given by (4.9) satisfies $\tilde{\alpha_0}(x)\le L_0(\tau)^2$ a.e. $x\in\mathbf{R}^n$, from (3.12) in Lemma 3.1 one obtains (4.7). The proof of (4.8) is as follows. v has the expression

$$v=v_0-\epsilon_0,$$

where $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ solves

$$(\triangle - \tau^2)v_0 + f = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n$$

and $\epsilon_0 \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ solves

$$(\triangle - \tau^2 - \tau q_0)\epsilon_0 + \tau q_0 v_0 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n. \tag{4.10}$$

v_0 has the explicit form

$$v_0(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y)K_\tau(x-y)dy \ge 0$$

and hence $\tau q_0 v_0 \ge 0$. Applying (4.7) to (4.10) we obtain

$$\epsilon_0(x) \ge \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tau q_0(y)v_0(y)K_{L_0(\tau)\tau}(x-y)dy$$

and hence $\epsilon_0(x) \ge 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Therefore we obtain $v \le v_0$ and thus (4.8).
□

Now noting $L_0(\tau) \longrightarrow 1$ as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$, it is not difficult to deduce all the conclusions in Theorem 1.2 from (4.5), (4.6) and Lemma 4.1 as done in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5 Remarks and further problems

5.1 How to compute the indicator function without knowledge about α_0 outside B

In this work we think that D is an invader into a space with the refractive index α_0. However, it will be difficult to know the detail of α_0 and find v on B which is the solution of equation (1.4). In this section we describe an experimental computation procedure of the indicator function from the observed data in the two spaces one of which has an invader and another does not have an invader yet.

Let $V = V(x,t)$ be the weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_0(x)\partial_t^2 V - \triangle V = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n \times]0,\, T[, \\ \\ V(x,0) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n,\ \partial_t V(x,0) = \chi_B(x) \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Generate wave V_e by the initial data, say $f = \chi_B$ in the space which has no invader and observe V_e on B over time interval $]0,\, T[$. We assume that V_e on B is given by V on B.

From Proposition 3.1 in the case when $\alpha_0 = \alpha$ we obtain

$$\int_B \alpha_0(v_e - v)dx = O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}),$$

where

$$v_e(x,\tau) = \int_0^T e^{-\tau T} V_e(x,t)dt.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_B \alpha_0(w - v_e)dx = \int_B \alpha_0(w - v)dx + O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}).$$

Then, it follows from Proposition 3.1 that, as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$

$$\int_B \alpha_0(w - v_e)dx \le \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}(\alpha_0 - \alpha)v^2 dx + O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T})$$

and

$$\int_B \alpha_0(w-v_e)dx \ge \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\alpha_0-\alpha)v^2 dx + O(\tau^{-1}e^{-\tau T}).$$

Therefore, one can transplant all the results in Theorem 1.1 into the present case and we obtain

Theorem 5.1. *We have:*

(i) if $D=\emptyset$, then for all $T>0$ it holds that

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty} e^{\tau T}\int_B \alpha_0 f(w-v_e)dx = 0;$$

(ii) if $D\neq\emptyset$ and h satisfies (A.I), then for all $T>2M_0\,dist(D,B)$ it holds that

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty} e^{\tau T}\int_B \alpha_0 f(w-v_e)dx = -\infty;$$

(iii) if $D\neq\emptyset$ and h satisfies (A.II), then for all $T>2M_0\,dist(D,B)$ it holds that

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty} e^{\tau T}\int_B \alpha_0 f(w-v_e)dx = \infty.$$

Moreover, in case of both (ii) and (iii) we have, for all $T>2M_0\,dist(D,B)$

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{2\tau}\log\left|\int_B \alpha_0 f(w-v_e)dx\right| \ge -M_0\,dist\,(D,B);$$

$$\limsup_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{2\tau}\log\left|\int_B \alpha_0 f(w-v_e)dx\right| \le -m_0\,dist\,(D,B).$$

Note that, if we know α_0 on B, then one can compute the indicator function

$$\tau\longmapsto\int_B \alpha_0(w-v_e)dx$$

from the *experimental data* and the values of α_0 on B.

We just need the following qualitative knowledge:

(i) the governing equation of the observed wave in the space which has a penetrable obstacle takes the form (1.1) and its refractive index is given by (1.2);

(ii) the governing equation of the observed wave in the space which has no obstacle yet takes the form (5.1) with α_0 in (1.2).

Summing up, we can say that: one can know the existence of something added to a reference space by comparing the "snap shot" u on B with a "reference snap shot" V_e on B even in the case when: the reference space has a complicated rough refractive index α_0; α_0 is *unknown* outside B.

5.2 One-space dimensiona case

Finally let us describe one non trivial application of the method presented in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case when the space dimension is one and the background medium is not homogeneous.

We assume that α_0 is piecewise constant and takes the form

$$\alpha_0(x)=\begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x<a \text{ or } b<x \\ \\ k_0, & \text{if } a<x<b, \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

where $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ and k_0 is a positive constant.

We choose $f = \chi_B$, where χ_B denotes the charactersitic function of open interval $B =]p-\epsilon,\, p+\epsilon[$ with a fixed p satisfying $p+\epsilon < a$. f is a simple model of the disturbance given at $t=0$ from the left side of the wall $[a,\, b]$.

We assume that $D =]c,\, d[$ with, for simplicity $b < c < d < \infty$. This means that obstace D is located behaind the wall $]a,\, b[$ from the observer.

Define

$$\varphi = a - (p+\epsilon) + \sqrt{k_0}(b-a) + (c-b).$$

The quantity 2φ coincides with the time of flight of the signal which propgates as

$$x_0 = p+\epsilon \longrightarrow x_1 = a \longrightarrow x_2 = b \longrightarrow x_3 = c \longrightarrow x_4 = b \longrightarrow x_5 = a \longrightarrow x_6 = p+\epsilon,$$

where the propagation speed of the signal in $]p+\epsilon,\, a[$ and $]b,\, c[$ is 1, in $]a,\, b[$ is $1/\sqrt{k_0}$.

Note that kowing c is equivalent to knowing φ provided $b-a$ which is a thickneess of the wall and $1/\sqrt{k_0}$ which is the propagation speed of the wave inside the wall are known.

Let α be the same as (1.2) with $n=1$, a $h \in L^{\infty}(D)$ and α_0 given by (5.2).

Theorem 5.2. *Let $T > 2\varphi$. We have:*

(i) if h satisfies (A.I), then

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} e^{\tau T} \int_B (w-v)dx = -\infty;$$

(ii) if h satisfies (A.II), then

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} e^{\tau T} \int_B (w-v)dx = \infty.$$

Moreover, in case of both (i) and (ii) we have

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\tau} \log \left| \int_B (w-v)dx \right| = -\varphi. \tag{5.3}$$

The proof is based on the following asymptotic formula of the solution of (1.4) which corresponds to Lemma 3.1 and the argument of the proof done in that of Theorem 1.1 to the present case.

Lemma 5.1. *We have, as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$*

$$2\tau e^{2\tau\varphi} \int_D v^2 dx = 1 + O(\tau^{-2}). \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. A direct computation shows that v has the expression

$$v(x,\tau) = \begin{cases} A(\tau)e^{\tau x}, & \text{if } x < p-\epsilon \\ B(\tau)e^{\tau x} + C(\tau)e^{-\tau x} + \dfrac{1}{\tau^2}, & \text{if } p-\epsilon < x < p+\epsilon \\ D(\tau)e^{\tau x} + G(\tau)e^{-\tau x}, & \text{if } p+\epsilon < x < a \\ H(\tau)e^{\sqrt{k_0}\,\tau x} + K(\tau)e^{-\sqrt{k_0}\,\tau x}, & \text{if } a < x < b \\ L(\tau)e^{-\tau x}, & \text{if } b < x, \end{cases}$$

where

$$A(\tau)=D(\tau)+\frac{e^{-\tau(p-\epsilon)}-e^{-\tau(p+\epsilon)}}{2\tau^2},\ B(\tau)=A(\tau)-\frac{e^{-\tau(p-\epsilon)}}{2\tau^2},\ C(\tau)=-\frac{e^{\tau(p-\epsilon)}}{2\tau^2};$$

$$G(\tau)=\frac{e^{\tau(p+\epsilon)}-e^{\tau(p-\epsilon)}}{2\tau^2};$$

$$H(\tau)=\frac{\sqrt{k_0}+1}{2\sqrt{k_0}}D(\tau)e^{\tau(1-\sqrt{k_0})a}+\frac{\sqrt{k_0}-1}{2\sqrt{k_0}}G(\tau)e^{-\tau(1+\sqrt{k_0})a};$$

$$K(\tau)=\frac{\sqrt{k_0}-1}{2\sqrt{k_0}}D(\tau)e^{\tau(1+\sqrt{k_0})a}+\frac{\sqrt{k_0}+1}{2\sqrt{k_0}}G(\tau)e^{-\tau(1-\sqrt{k_0})a};$$

$$D(\tau)=-\frac{(k_0-1)e^{-\tau(a-p-\epsilon)}e^{-\tau a}(1+e^{-2\tau\sqrt{k_0}(b-a)})(1-e^{-2\tau\epsilon})}{2\tau^2(\sqrt{k_0}+1)^2\left\{1-\left(\frac{\sqrt{k_0}-1}{\sqrt{k_0}+1}\right)^2e^{-2\sqrt{k_0}\tau(b-a)}\right\}};$$

$$L(\tau)=e^{\tau b}e^{-\tau\sqrt{k_0}(b-a)}e^{-\tau(a-p-\epsilon)}$$

$$\times\left\{\frac{1}{1-e^{-2\tau\epsilon}}-\frac{1}{2\tau^2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{k_0}-1}{\sqrt{k_0}+1}\right)^2\frac{(1+e^{-2\tau\sqrt{k_0}(b-a)})(1-e^{-2\tau\epsilon})}{1-\left(\frac{\sqrt{k_0}-1}{\sqrt{k_0}+1}\right)^2e^{-2\sqrt{k_0}\tau(b-a)}}\right\}.$$

Thus, as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$

$$L(\tau)=e^{\tau b}e^{-\tau\sqrt{k_0}(b-a)}e^{-\tau(a-p-\epsilon)}(1+O(\tau^{-2})). \tag{5.5}$$

Since $v(x)=L(\tau)e^{-\tau x}$ for $x>b$, we have

$$\int_c^d v^2dx=\frac{(L(\tau)e^{-\tau c})^2}{2\tau}(1-e^{-2\tau(d-c)}).$$

Then (5.5) yields (5.4).
□

Theorem 5.2 suggests that, if $v\in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is the solution of (1.4) and one knows the leading term of $\|v\|_{L^2(D)}$ as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$ like (5.4) in Lemma 5.1, then one can obtain a formula in three-dimensions like (5.3) in Theorem 5.2 instead of estimates (1.5) and (1.6). For the determination of the leading term, usually, one has to solve the *eikonal equation* $|\nabla\Psi|^2=\alpha_0(x)$. In some restricted cases it is possible to solve the equation, see [18]. The case treated in Theorem 5.2 is just simplest one of such cases. However, we do not go into such research direction further since the solvabilty of the eikonal equation requires some regularity for α_0 and we are seeking a method that works for finding an obstacle embedded in a *rough* background medium. We think that the method presented in this paper is the first one for the purpose. In a forthcoming paper we will consider the original problem which is formulated by the Maxwell system.

Acknowledgment

The author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)(No. 25400155) of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

6 Appendix. Proof of Lemma 3.1.

We make use of the following elementary fact and an iteration process.

Lemma A. *Let $\lambda > 0$. Given $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ let $v \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the weak solution of*

$$\{\triangle - \lambda^2\}v + f = 0 \textit{ in}\, \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Then we have

$$\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le \lambda^{-2}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le (2\lambda)^{-1}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}. \tag{A.2}$$

Proof of (3.12).

Rewrite (1.4) as

$$\{\triangle - (M_0\tau)^2\}v + \Big\{\alpha_0 f + \tau^2(M_0^2 - \alpha_0)v\Big\} = 0 \text{ in}\, \mathbf{R}^3.$$

Let $v_1 \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the weak solution of

$$\{\triangle - (M_0\tau)^2\}v_1 + \alpha_0 f = 0 \text{ in}\, \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Since v_1 has the expression

$$v_1(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \alpha_0(y) f(y) K_{M_0\tau}(x-y)dy,$$

we have $v_1(x) \ge 0$.

Let $j = 1, \cdots$. Given $v_j \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ let $v_{j+1} \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the weak solution of

$$\{\triangle - (M_0\tau)^2\}v_{j+1} + \{\alpha_0 f + \tau^2(M_0^2 - \alpha_0)v_j\} = 0 \text{ in}\, \mathbf{R}^n. \tag{A.3}$$

Then, $v_{j+1} - v_j$ for $j \ge 2$ satisfies

$$\{(\triangle - (M_0\tau)^2)\}(v_{j+1} - v_j) = -\tau^2(M_0^2 - \alpha_0)(v_j - v_{j-1}) \text{ in}\, \mathbf{R}^n.$$

and from (A.1) we obtain

$$\|v_{j+1} - v_j\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le \left(1 - \frac{m_0^2}{M_0^2}\right)\|v_j - v_{j-1}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

and hence, for $j = 1, \cdots$

$$\|v_{j+1} - v_j\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le \left(1 - \frac{m_0^2}{M_0^2}\right)^{j-1}\|v_2 - v_1\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Similary we have

$$\|v_2 - v_1\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le \left(1 - \frac{m_0^2}{M_0^2}\right)\|v_1\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

and applying (A.1) to v_1 on this right-hand side, we obtain

$$\|v_2 - v_1\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \left(1 - \frac{m_0^2}{M_0^2}\right) \frac{1}{\tau^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Thus we obtain, for $j = 1, \cdots$

$$\|v_{j+1} - v_j\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \left(1 - \frac{m_0^2}{M_0^2}\right)^j \frac{1}{\tau^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, from (A.2) we have

$$\|\nabla(v_{j+1} - v_j)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \frac{\tau(M_0^2 - m_0^2)}{2M_0} \|v_j - v_{j-1}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

and similary

$$\|\nabla(v_{j+1} - v_j)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \frac{M_0}{2\tau} \left(1 - \frac{m_0^2}{M_0^2}\right)^j \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{v_j\}$ in $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ converges to

$$v \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (v_{j+1} - v_j) + v_1 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \tag{A.4}$$

and we have:

$$\|v - v_1\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \frac{M_0^2}{\tau^2 m_0^2} \left(1 - \frac{m_0^2}{M_0^2}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)};$$

$$\|\nabla v - \nabla v_1\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \frac{M_0^3}{2\tau m_0^2} \left(1 - \frac{m_0^2}{M_0^2}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Thus taking the limi of (A.3) as $j \longrightarrow \infty$, we see that v is the weak solution of (1.4).

Since $v_2 - v_1$ has the expression

$$v_2(x) - v_1(x) = \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (M_0^2 - \alpha_0(y)) v_1(y) K_{M_0\tau}(x - y) dy,$$

we have $v_2(x) - v_1(x) \geq 0$. For $j \geq 2$ we have also

$$v_{j+1}(x) - v_j(x) = \tau^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (M_0^2 - \alpha_0(y))(v_j(y) - v_{j-1}(y)) K_{M_0\tau}(x - y) dy$$

and thus by induction we obtain, for all $j \geq 2$ $v_{j+1}(x) - v_j(x) \geq 0$. Therefore from (A.4) and the almost convergence property of a subsequence of $\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} (v_{j+1} - v_j)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ we concude that $v(x) \geq v_1(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. This completes the proof of (3.12).

□

Proof of (3.13). Let $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ solve

$$\{\triangle - (m_0\tau)^2\} v_0 + \alpha_0 f = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n.$$

v_0 has the expression

$$v_0(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \alpha_0(y) f(y) K_{m_0\tau}(x - y) dy$$

and thus $v_0 \geq 0$. Then, v has the expression

$$v = v_0 - \epsilon_0,$$

where $\epsilon_0 \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ solves

$$\triangle \epsilon_0 - \alpha_0 \tau^2 \epsilon_0 + \tau^2(\alpha_0 - m_0^2) v_0 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Since $\tau^2(\alpha_0 - m_0^2)v_0 \geq 0$, applying (3.12) to the equation above, we obtain $\epsilon_0 \geq 0$. Therefore we obtain $v \leq v_0$.
$\square$

References

[1] Catapano, I. and Crocco, L., A qualitative inverse scattering method for through-the-wall imaging, IEEE Geoscience and remote sensing letters, **7**(2010), No.4, October, 685-689.

[2] Courant, R. and Hilbert, D., Methoden der Mathematischen Physik, Vol. **2** (Berlin: Springer), 1937.

[3] Dautray, R. and Lions, J-L., Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for sciences and technology, Evolution problems I, Vol. **5**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[4] Gilbarg, D. and Trudinger, N. S., Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, second.ed. (Berlin:Springer), 1983.

[5] Hansen, S., The leading singularity of the scattering kernel for a transparent obstacle, Math. Ann., **279**(1987), 125-139.

[6] Ikehata, M., Enclosing a polygonal cavity in a two-dimensional bounded domain from Cauchy data, Inverse Problems, **15**(1999), 1231-1241.

[7] Ikehata, M., Reconstruction of the support function for inclusion from boundary measurements, J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems, **8**(2000), 367-378.

[8] Ikehata, M., Extracting discontinuity in a heat conductive body. One-space dimensional case, Applicable Analysis, **86**(2007), no. 8, 963-1005.

[9] Ikehata, M., The enclosure method for inverse obstacle scattering problems with dynamical data over a finite time interval, Inverse Problems, **26**(2010) 055010(20pp).

[10] Ikehata, M., The enclosure method for inverse obstacle scattering problems with dynamical data over a finite time interval: II. Obstacles with a dissipative boundary or finite refractive index and back-scattering data, Inverse Problems, **28**(2012) 045010(29pp).

[11] Ikehata, M., The enclosure method for inverse obstacle scattering problems with dynamical data over a finite time interval: III. Sound-soft obstacle and bistatic data, Inverse Problems, **29**(2013) 085013(35pp).

[12] Ikehata, M., Extracting the geometry of an obstacle and a zeroth-order coefficient of a boundary condition via the enclosure method using a single reflected wave over a finite time interval, Inverse Problems, **30**(2014) 045011(24pp).

[13] Ikehata, M., New development of the enclosure method for inverse obstacle scattering, to appear as a chapter in *Inverse Problems and Computational Mechanics* (eds. L. Marin, L. Munteanu, V. Chiroiu), Vol. **2**, Editura Academiei, Bucharest, Romania.

[14] Ikehata, M. and Itou, H., On reconstruction of a cavity in a linearized viscoelastic body from infinitely many transient boundary data, Inverse Problems, **28**(2012) 125003(19pp).

[15] Majda, A. and Taylor, M., Inverse scattering problems for transparent obstacles, Comm. in partial differential equations, **2**(4)(1977), 395-438.

[16] Petkov, V., Propagation of sigularities and inverse scattering problem for transparent obstacles, J. Math. Pures Appl., **60**(1982), 65-90.

[17] Petkov, V., Inverse scattering problem for transparent obstacles, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **92**(1982), 361-367.

[18] Uhlmann, G., The Cauchy data and scattering relation, in *Geometric methods in inverse problems and PDE control IMA vol. 137* (New York: Springer), pp.263-288, 2004.

[19] Zetik, R., Crabbe, S., Krajnak, J., Peyerl, P., Sachs, J., et al., Detection and localization of persons behind obstacles using M-sequence through-the-wall radar, Proc. SPIE 6201, Sensors, and Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence (C3I) Technologies for Homeland Security and Homeland Defense V, 62010I (May 10, 2006); doi:10.1117/12.667989.

e-mail address
ikehata@amath.hiroshima-u.ac.jp